

Committee: Healthier Communities and Older People Overview and Scrutiny Panel

Date: 20th September 2016.

Wards: ALL

Subject: Sustainability and Transformation Plan for South West London

Lead officer: Dr Andrew Murray, Chairman Merton Clinical Commissioning Group

Lead member: Councillor Peter McCabe, Chair of the Healthier Communities and Older People Overview and Scrutiny Panel.

Contact officer: Stella Akintan, stella.akintan@merton.gov.uk; 020 8545 3390

Recommendations:

- A. That Panel members comment on the update from Dr Andrew Murray, Chairman of Merton Clinical Commissioning Group (MCCG) on the progress with developing the Sustainability and Transformation Plan for South West London.
-

1 PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1. Dr Andrew Murray will attend the panel to provide update on the progress with developing a Sustainability and Transformation Plan for South West London.
- 1.2. **DETAIL**
- 1.3. All regions of the NHS in England are required to publish Sustainability and Transformation Plans (STPs) setting out how they will deliver high quality, sustainable services for their populations in the years ahead . STPs are intended to be developed through a partnership of NHS commissioners and providers, working with their local authorities.
- 1.4. The scrutiny of the STP has been delegated to the South West London Joint Health Scrutiny Committee (JHOSC). The Chair and Vice-Chair of this Panel are members of the committee and will report back on the discussion at future Panel meetings. A briefing and presentation from the 11th October JHOSC meeting is **attached** for information.

2 ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The Healthier Communities and Older People Overview and Scrutiny Panel can select topics for scrutiny review and for other scrutiny work as it sees fit, taking into account views and suggestions from officers, partner organisations and the public.

Cabinet is constitutionally required to receive, consider and respond to scrutiny recommendations within two months of receiving them at a meeting.

- 2.1. Cabinet is not, however, required to agree and implement recommendations from Overview and Scrutiny. Cabinet could agree to implement some, or none, of the recommendations made in the scrutiny review final report.

3 CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED

3.1. The Panel will be consulted at the meeting

4 TIMETABLE

4.1. The Panel will consider important items as they arise as part of their work programme for 2016/17

5 FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

5.1. None relating to this covering report

6 LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

6.1. None relating to this covering report. Scrutiny work involves consideration of the legal and statutory implications of the topic being scrutinised.

7 HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS

7.1. It is a fundamental aim of the scrutiny process to ensure that there is full and equal access to the democratic process through public involvement and engaging with local partners in scrutiny reviews. Furthermore, the outcomes of reviews are intended to benefit all sections of the local community.

8 CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

8.1. None relating to this covering report. Scrutiny work involves consideration of the crime and disorder implications of the topic being scrutinised.

9 RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

9.1. None relating to this covering report

10 APPENDICES – THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE TO BE PUBLISHED WITH THIS REPORT AND FORM PART OF THE REPORT

-

11 BACKGROUND PAPERS

11.1.